Title of Report: Revised Code of Conduct for Members

(including a Social Media Protocol)

Report to be considered by:

Council

Date of Meeting: 12 December 2013

Forward Plan Ref: C2737

# **Purpose of Report:**

To discuss and if appropriate agree any recommended changes to the Members Code of Conduct following the scheduled review by the Standards Committee and to consider the introduction of an associated Social Media Protocol for Members.

#### **Recommended Action:**

To consider and if appropriate amend Appendix H (the Code of Conduct for Members) as set out in Part 13 (Codes and Protocols) of the Councils Constitution and to consider the introduction of a Social Media Protocol for Members (Appendix K Social Media Protocol for Councillors to Part 13 of the Constitution (Codes and Protocols)).

Reason for decision to be

taken:

A review date for the Code of Conduct was agreed at the

time of its adoption.

Other options considered:

Not to adopt the Social Media Protocol Not to amend the Code of Conduct

Key background documentation:

Localism Act 2011

The proposals will also help achieve the following Council Strategy principles:

CSP9 - Doing what's important well

The proposals contained in this report will help to achieve the above Council Strategy priorities and principles by: ensuring that the constitution is up to date and accords with the relevant legislation

| Member Details             |  |
|----------------------------|--|
| Name & Telephone No.:      | Peter Argyle/ Jeff Beck                          |
| E-mail Address:            | pargyle@westberks.gov.uk/ jbeck@westberks.gov.uk |
| Date Member agreed report: | 25 September 2013/e-mailed on 29 October 2013    |

| Contact Officer Detail   | S  |  |             |    |  |  |
|--|--|--|-------------|----|--|--|
| Name:  | David Hollin   | ng   |             |    |  |  |
| Job Title:   |  | Head of Legal Services<br>01635 519422   |             |    |  |  |
| Tel. No.:  | 01635 519  |  |             |    |  |  |
| E-mail Address:  | dholling@v   | dholling@westberks.gov.uk  |             |    |  |  |
| Implications   |  |  |             |    |  |  |
| Policy:  | Will require Part 13 (Codes and Protocols) of the Constitution to be amended                                   |  |             |    |  |  |
| Financial:   | None – will be u   | ndertaken within existing resour   | ces         |    |  |  |
| Personnel:   | None   |  |             |    |  |  |
| Legal/Procurement:   | •  | nges to the Constitution in accordovernment Acts   | rdance with | 1  |  |  |
| Property:  | None   |  |             |    |  |  |
| Risk Management:   | None   |  |             |    |  |  |
| Is this item relevant  | to equality?   | Please tick relevant boxes   | Yes         | No |  |  |
| Does the policy affect and:  | service users, en  | nployees or the wider communit   | У           |    |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Is it likely to affect differently?</li> </ul>  | people with partic   | cular protected characteristics  |             |    |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Is it a major policy, significantly affecting how functions are<br/>delivered?</li> </ul>   |  |  |             |    |  |  |
| Will the policy have a significant impact on how other organisations operate in terms of equality?   |  |  |             |    |  |  |
|  | -  | dot on now other organisations   |             |    |  |  |
| <ul><li>operate in terms of</li><li>Does the policy rel being important to</li></ul>   | equality?<br>ate to functions the<br>people with partic  | nat engagement has identified a cular protected characteristics?   | s           |    |  |  |
| <ul> <li>operate in terms of</li> <li>Does the policy rel being important to</li> <li>Does the policy rel</li> </ul>                             | equality? ate to functions the people with partice ate to an area wit  | nat engagement has identified a<br>cular protected characteristics?<br>h known inequalities?                                       |             |    |  |  |
| <ul> <li>operate in terms of</li> <li>Does the policy rel being important to</li> <li>Does the policy rel</li> <li>Outcome (Where one</li> </ul> | equality? ate to functions the people with partice at the an area with partice or more 'Yes' bo                | nat engagement has identified a<br>cular protected characteristics?<br>h known inequalities?<br>oxes are ticked, the item is relev | ant to equa |    |  |  |
| <ul> <li>operate in terms of</li> <li>Does the policy rel being important to</li> <li>Does the policy rel</li> <li>Outcome (Where one</li> </ul> | equality? ate to functions the people with partice ate to an area with partice or more 'Yes' becomplete an EIA | nat engagement has identified a<br>cular protected characteristics?<br>h known inequalities?                                       | ant to equa |    |  |  |

| Is this item subject to call-in?  | Yes:                          | No: 🔀 |  |  |
|---|-------------------------------|-------|--|--|
| If not subject to call-in please put a  | cross in the appropriate box: |       |  |  |
| The item is due to be referred to Council for final approval  |                               |       |  |  |
| Delays in implementation could have serious financial implications for the Council  |                               |       |  |  |
| Delays in implementation could compromise the Council's position  |                               |       |  |  |
| Considered or reviewed by Overview and Scrutiny Management Commission or associated Task Groups within preceding six months |                               |       |  |  |
| Item is Urgent Key Decision   |                               |       |  |  |
| Report is to note only  |                               |       |  |  |

# **Executive Summary and Report**

#### 1. Introduction

- 1.1 West Berkshire Council's Code of Conduct for Councillors was adopted by Full Council at its meeting on 10th May 2012 and came into effect from 1st July 2012. The new processes have now been in operation for over a year and as suggested in the original report the Code and underpinning processes has been subject to a thorough review by a Task Group of the Standards Committee to reflect on its effectiveness. The Task Group comprised Councillors David Allen and Peter Argyle, James Rees (Independent Person) and Tony Renouf (Parish Councillor). The Task Group concluded that overall the revised Code and underpinning processes have worked well. A number of minor amendments to the existing Code of Conduct (Appendix A) are therefore set out in the attached document.
- 1.2 In addition blogging and social networking have become increasingly popular and effective methods for councillors to interact with parishioners. Used effectively, they can engage those who would not normally have access to local councillors. However, it is not always clear whether such activities are covered by the Code of Conduct. A draft Social Media Protocol for Councillors (Appendix C) I has therefore been drafted to assist Members in complying with the Code and ensuring that the use of online media is well received.

## 2. Proposals

- 2.1 The following amendments to the existing Code of Conduct were proposed by the Task Group:
  - a) The scope of when the code was applicable should be reviewed and if appropriate clarified;
  - b) Footnotes pertaining to the Bribery Act 2010 and the Local Authority Code of Publicity should be inserted;
  - c) The terms 'you must' and 'you must not' to be inserted into the Code relating to the obligations of Members;
  - d) The level at which gifts and hospitality should be declared to be reviewed and if appropriate amended;
- 2.2 The following amendments to the existing procedures were proposed by the Task Group:
  - In future there would be an assumption that hearings should take place in public, in reality Members would be asked to vote on whether to go into Part II or not after hearing representations from the subject member or complainant;
  - b) The flowchart (Appendix B) to be amended to allow the Advisory Panel to refer an investigation back to the investigator if appropriate; and

- c) The flowchart to be amended to include naming the meeting between the Monitoring Officer and the Independent Person as the 'Individual Assessment Meeting'.
- 2.3 The Standards Committee met on the 14 October 2013 to consider the proposals and agreed to make the following recommendations to Council.
  - a) that the scope of when the Code was applicable should be amended as set out in the revised document;
  - b) the insertion of the footnotes relating to the Bribery Act 2010 and the Local Authority Code of Publicity should be retained;
  - c) the layout using the terms 'you must' and 'must not' clarified the document;
  - d) the level at which Members should declare the receipt of a gift or hospitality should remain at £25.00 and that mention be inserted of 'serial givers';
  - e) the typographical error on page 24 to be amended with the word 'vacation' being replaced by 'vocation' notwithstanding that this is contained in the Regulations;
  - f) the suggested amendments to the flowchart be accepted;
  - g) the Social Media Protocol is a useful tool and guidance for Members and it should therefore be adopted.
- 2.4 Councillors are asked to adopt a Social Media Protocol to assist Councillors with making effective use of social media whilst avoiding potential accusations that they may be breaching the Council's Code of Conduct. It is also designed to ensure that the reputation of the Council is not adversely affected and that the Council is not subject to legal challenge as a result of information posted on social networking sites or blogs.

### 3. Equalities Impact Assessment Outcomes

3.1 This item is not relevant to equality.

#### 4. Conclusion

The Governance and Audit Committee is:

- I. asked to consider and if appropriate amend the Members Code of Conduct and then recommend the changes to full Council for adoption;
- II. asked to consider and if appropriate amend the flowchart which sets out the processes for dealing with complaints about potential braches of the Code of Conduct;
- III. asked to consider and if appropriate amend the Social Media Protocol for Councillors and then, if approved, recommend the document to full Council for adoption.

# **Appendices**

Appendix A – Code of Conduct for Members of West Berkshire District Council

Appendix B – Flowchart of processes underpinning the processes

Appendix C - Social Media Protocol for Councillors

#### Consultees

**Local Stakeholders:** Standards Committee Task Group, Standards Committee

Officers Consulted: Andy Day, Sarah Clarke, Keith Ulyatt, Phil Rumens, Moira Fraser,

Corporate Board

**Trade Union:** Not consulted.